

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/825,307 | 04/16/2004 | Chia-Ti Hsu | HSU119 | 7750 |
| 1444 7 | 7590 05/18/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. | | | HAMILTON, ISAAC N | |
| 624 NINTH ST | ΓREET, NW | • | | |
| SUITE 300 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303 | | | 3724 | • |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | • | - |
|--|---|---|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/825,307 | HSU, CHIA-TI | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Isaac N Hamilton | 3724 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replevable of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A | April 2004. | 9 | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | - | • • | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | • | • | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Da) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/825,307

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-8 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vegliante et al (2002/0117038A1), hereafter Vegliante. Vegliante discloses guide rail 12, 14; sliding cutter 18, 22; blade 22; attractive layer made of polymeric material in paragraph [0029]; arched saddle 26; adhesive piece 104; attractive layer is PVC in paragraph [0029]; extrusion process in paragraph [0031]; sliding portion 29; channel 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vegliante in view of Urion et al (4,210,043), hereafter Urion. Vegliante discloses everything as noted above, but does not disclose a T-shaped channel and sliding portion. However, Urion teaches T-shaped channel 28 and sliding portion 42. It would have been obvious to provide a T-shaped channel and sliding portion in Vegliante as taught by Urion in order to prevent rotation of the sliding portion in the channel.

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac Hamilton whose telephone number is 571-272-4509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 8am and 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IH } \/\

May 10, 2005

Allan N. Shoap

Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700